- 1		
1	Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175)	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)
2	karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com BARTLIT BECK LLP	paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
_	1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200	LLP
3	Denver, Colorado 80202	Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor
	Telephone: (303) 592-3100	San Francisco, CA 94111
4	Hae Sung Nam (pro hac vice)	Telephone: (415) 591-7500
5	hnam@kaplanfox.com	Christine A. Varney (pro hac vice)
	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP	cvarney@cravath.com
6	850 Third Avenue	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
7	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 687-1980	825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019
′	retephone. (212) 007-1700	Telephone: (212) 474-1000
8	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re	•
	Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in
9	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice)	Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.
10	steve@hbsslaw.com	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576)
	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
11	1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
12	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596
12	1 receptione. (200) 023-7292	Telephone: (415) 442-1000
13	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re	• , ,
1.4	Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and	Daniel M. Petrocelli (SBN 97802)
14	Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.	dpetrocelli@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
15	Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174)	1999 Avenue of the Stars, 8th Floor
	bsweeney@hausfeld.com	Los Angeles, CA 90067
16	HAUSFELD LLP	Telephone: (310) 553-6700
17	600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94104	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
1 /	Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Counsel for Defendants Google EDC et al.
18		
19	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re	
19	Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.	
20		
2.1	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION	
4			
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
6	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION	
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS	
9 10	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	Judge: Hon. James Donato	
11	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2 -	
	_	<u> </u>	

1	WHEREAS, on December 10, 2020, the Court entered a Stipulated Protective Order in the
2	above-captioned cases, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD, Dkt. No. 110, approving Dkt. No. 106-1;
3	Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD, Dkt. No. 117, approving Dkt. No. 109-1; Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-
4	JD, Dkt. No. 78, approving Dkt. No. 76-1 (the "Protective Order"), (collectively, "the
5	Litigations");
6	WHEREAS, Section 11(a) of the Protective Order states that its provisions should not "be
7	construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections";
8	WHEREAS, materials that Parties intend to produce in the Litigations may contain
9	information that is subject to contractual obligations to a Non-Party, including but not limited to
10	non-disclosure agreements between a Party and Non-Party, or may otherwise contain Non-Party
11	Protected Material (as defined herein);
12	WHEREAS, Parties have served subpoenas pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of
13	Civil Procedure on Non-Parties;
14	WHEREAS, certain Non-Parties have expressed concerns regarding the production of
15	competitively sensitive information to Parties in the Litigations absent certain additional
16	protections beyond those set forth in the Protective Order;
17	WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that documents or information disclosed or
18	produced by Non-Parties or by Parties to the extent such documents or information are designated
19	as containing Non-Party Protected Material in connection with the Litigations shall be subject to
20	the following provisions (the "Supplemental Protective Order"):
21	1. <u>GENERAL PROVISIONS</u>
22	1.1 The definitions, terms and provisions contained in the Protective Order
23	shall be incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein; provided, however, that
24	in the event of a conflict between any definition, term, or provision of this Supplemental
25	Protective Order and any definition, term, or provision of the Protective Order, this Supplemental
26	Protective Order will control with respect to such conflict.
27	1.2 The definitions, terms and provisions contained in this Supplemental
28	

Protective Order shall apply only to those Discovery Materials designated as Non-Party Protected 2 Material in accordance with this Supplemental Protective Order, and nothing herein shall provide 3 any rights or protections to the Parties to the Litigations beyond those set forth in the Protective 4 Order. 2. 5 **DEFINITIONS** 2.1 Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or 6 7 items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery in the Litigations as "NON-8 PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY."

2.2 Non-Party Protected Material: sensitive Non-Party confidential information or documents, disclosure of which to another Party or Non-Party could create a substantial risk of serious harm to the Non-Party.

3. **SCOPE**

3.1 This Supplemental Protective Order covers not only documents designated "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY", but also any information copied, excerpted, or summarized from documents with such designation and any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Counsel that might reveal information from documents with such designation. However, the protections conferred by this Supplemental Protective Order do not cover the following: (a) any documents or information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party for reasons not involving a violation of this Supplemental Protective Order or the Protective Order, including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any documents or information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the document or information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party.

3.2 Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.

28

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	4. <u>DESIGNATION AND USE OF NON-PARTY PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>
2	4.1 In order for materials produced in discovery to qualify for protection as
3	"NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY", the
4	Designating Party must affix the legend "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
5	OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" to each page of any document for which the Designating
6	Party seeks protection under this Supplemental Protective Order;
7	4.2 Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted by all implicated Non-
8	Parties, a Receiving Party may disclose documents and information designated as "NON-PARTY
9	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" to the following only:
10	(a) The Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as
11	well as employees of Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose
12	the documents or information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and
13	Agreement to be Bound" (Exhibit A to the Protective Order);
14	(b) Experts of the Receiving Party (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably
15	necessary for the Litigations and (2) who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to
16	be Bound" (Exhibit A to the Protective Order);
17	(c) The Court and its personnel;
18	(d) Stenographic reporters, videographers, and their respective staff,
19	professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably
20	necessary for these Litigations and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to be
21	Bound" (Exhibit A to the Protective Order);
22	(e) The author or recipient of a document containing the information or
23	custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.
24	4.3 Filing and Filing under Seal. A Party may not file in the public record in
25	this action any Non-Party Protected Material. Non-Party Protected Material may be filed only
26	under seal pursuant to a Court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Non-Party Protected
27	Material at issue. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Non-Party Protected Material must

1	comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. In addition to the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5(e),
2	the Party seeking to file under seal any Non-Party Protected Material should identify the Non-
3	Party whose Non-Party Protected Material has been designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY
4	CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" and serve the supporting declaration
5	on the Non-Party so that Non-Party has the opportunity to file a declaration establishing that all of
6	the designated material is sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e). If a Receiving Party's or
7	Non-Party's request to file Non-Party Protected Material under seal pursuant to Civil Local
8	Rule 79-5(e) is denied by the Court, then the Receiving Party may file the Protected Material in
9	the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(2), unless otherwise instructed by the
10	Court.
11	4.4 Use of Non-Party Protected Material at Depositions. Except as may
12	otherwise be ordered by the Court, any person may be examined as a witness at deposition and
13	may testify concerning Non-Party Protected Material to the extent such person was the author,
14	recipient, or custodian of the material, or otherwise possessed or had prior knowledge of such
15	material. For clarity,

- (a) A present director, officer, agent, employee and/or designated Rule 30(b)(6) witness of a Non-Party may be examined and may testify concerning Non-Party Protected Material, but to the extent a document is designated as NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY and contains another Non-Party's Protected Material, all such materials, of which such person did not have prior knowledge, should
- (b) A former director, officer, agent, and/or employee of Non-Party may be interviewed or examined and may testify concerning Non-Party Protected Material to the extent such person was the author, recipient, or custodian of the material, or otherwise possessed or had prior knowledge of such material, but to the extent a document is designated as NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY and contains another Non-Party's Protected Material, all such materials, of which such person did not have

be redacted prior to introducing any such document for examination;

27

effort to avoid disclosing NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL

1	
	EYES ONLY information, directly or indirectly, in an open hearing without prior consent from
	the Non-Party. If the disclosing party anticipates disclosing any NON-PARTY HIGHLY
	CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY information in any open hearing, the
	disclosing party must notify the Non-Party of its intent to disclose such material at least four (4)
	business days in advance of such disclosure, or, if such notice is not possible, as soon as is
	reasonably practicable, and describe the materials to be disclosed with reasonable particularity, so
	that the Non-Party has the opportunity to file an objection to such disclosure and establish that the
	materials to be disclosed are sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) and the Court's
	standing orders. If such notice is not given or the Non-Party files such an objection, the
	Disclosing Party must request that the courtroom be sealed, and that only those authorized to
	review NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY
	information remain present during the presentation of such material, unless the Court orders
	otherwise.
	4.6 <u>Use of Designated Material at Trial</u> . Any Party that discloses any NON-
	PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY materials on any

PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY materials on any trial exhibit list exchanged with an opposing Party, or that is disclosed to the Court, must disclose such materials to the implicated Non-Party no later than two (2) business days after such exchange or disclosure, and describe the materials with reasonable particularity, so that the Non-Party has the opportunity to file an objection to such disclosure and establish that the materials to be disclosed are sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) and the Court's standing orders. If such notice is not given or the Non-Party files such an objection, the Disclosing Party must request that the courtroom be sealed, and that only those authorized to review NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY information remain present during the presentation of such material, unless the Court orders otherwise.

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 40 Filed 05/18/21 Page 9 of 14 - 9 -STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 40 Filed 05/18/21 Page 10 of 14

1 2	Dated: May 18, 2021 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Christine Varney (pro hac vice) Katherine B. Forrest (pro hac vice)
3	Gary A. Bornstein (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Yonatan Even (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
4	Lauren A. Moskowitz (<i>pro hac vice</i>) M. Brent Byars (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
5	FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
7	Paul J. Riehle
8	Respectfully submitted,
9	By: <u>/s/ Yonatan Even</u>
10	Yonatan Even
11	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
12	
13	Dated: May 18, 2021 BARTLIT BECK LLP
14	Karma M. Giulianelli
15	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Hae Sung Nam
16	
17	Respectfully submitted,
18	By: <u>/s/ Karma M. Giulianelli</u>
19	Karma M. Giulianelli
20	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 10 -
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS
Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 40 Filed 05/18/21 Page 11 of 14

1	Dated: May 18, 2021 PRITZKER LEVINE LLP
2	Elizabeth C. Pritzker
3	Respectfully submitted,
4	By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker
5	Elizabeth C. Pritzker
6	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in
7	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
8	Dated: May 18, 2021 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
9	Steve W. Berman
10	Robert F. Lopez Benjamin J. Siegel
11	SPERLING & SLATER PC
12	Joseph M. Vanek
13	Eamon P. Kelly Alberto Rodriguez
14	
15	Respectfully submitted,
16	By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u>
17	Steve W. Berman
18	Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
19	Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Pure Sweat Basketball
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 11 -
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 40 Filed 05/18/21 Page 12 of 14

1	Dated: May 18, 2021 HAUSFELD LLP
2	Bonny E. Sweeney Melinda R. Coolidge
3	Katie R. Beran
4	Scott A. Martin Irving Scher
5	
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	By: <u>/s/ Bonny E. Sweeney</u> Bonny E. Sweeney
8	Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
9	Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Peekya App Services, Inc.
10	
11	Dated: May 18, 2021 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca
12	Sujal J. Shah
13	Minna L. Naranjo Rishi P. Satia
14	Michelle Park Chiu
15	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
16	Daniel M. Petrocelli
17	Ian Simmons (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Benjamin G. Bradshaw
18	D (C.11 1 2) 1
19	Respectfully submitted,
20	By: <u>/s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli</u> Daniel M. Petrocelli
21	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
22	Counsel for Defendants Google LLO et al.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 12 -
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS
Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: HON. JAMES DONATO United States District Judge - 13 -

E-FILING ATTESTATION I, Daniel M. Petrocelli, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing. /s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli Daniel M. Petrocelli - 14 -STIPULATED [PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER